

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/602,440	06/24/2003	Janice E. Buck	890-01-PA	9414
22145	7590 04/30/2004		EXAMINER	
KLEIN, O'NEILL & SINGH			LE, HUYEN D	
2 PARK PLAZ	ZA			
SUITE 510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	92614		3751	

DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	122 20 20 20					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/602,440	BUCK, JANICE E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Huyen Le	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespond nce address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J	lune 2003.					
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmont/c)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/24/03</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/602,440 Page 2

Art Unit: 3751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 9-12, 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buckner.

The Buckner reference discloses a writing instrument comprising a barrel 26 having first end, the barrel having a first maximum diameter; a writing tip extending from the first end; and a page turning element 10 fixed to the second end of a writing instrument or a variety of writing instruments (col. 3, lines 60-61).

Although the Buckner reference does not specifically disclose that the maximum diameter of the turning-page device is not substantially greater or 25% or 50% greater than the maximum diameter of the barrel of the writing instrument, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select diameter within a certain range for a page-turning device that best fits a particular writing instrument and to optimize the performance. See In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233, using the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Regarding claim 4, the page turning element 10 is made of a soft, deformable, substantially non-abradable, substantially non-abrasive elastomeric material (col. 3, lines 54-56).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, the page turning element has a textured exterior surface which includes a multiple of soft, deformable protuberances 16.

Regarding claims 11 and 12, the page turning element has a textured exterior surface which includes a multiple of soft, deformable protuberances 16.

3. Claims 7, 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buckner (5,735,544) in view of Kamo (3,813,176).

Although the Buckner reference does not specifically disclose writing instrument which includes an actuation button on the exterior of the main portion of the barrel, attention is directed to the Kamo reference which discloses a writing instrument including a side actuation button.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach the Buckner page-turning device to a writing instrument that has a side actuation button in view of the teaching of the Kamo reference, wherein so doing would amount a mere substitution of one functional equivalent writing instrument for another within the same art that would work equally well with the Buckner page-turning device.

4. Claims 8, 14 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buckner (5,735,544) in view of Sears (3,133,526).

Although the Buckner reference does not specifically disclose writing instrument which includes an actuation button one end of the barrel, attention is directed to the Sears reference which discloses a writing instrument including an actuation button extending from one end of the barrel.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,440 Page 4

Art Unit: 3751

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach the Buckner page-turning device to a writing instrument that has an actuation button at one end in view of the teaching of the Sears reference, wherein so doing would amount a mere substitution of one functional equivalent writing instrument for another within the same art that would work equally well with the Buckner page-turning device.

## Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Deli, Oelke and DE 29502759 references disclose a writing instrument having an attachment at one end which is capable of being used as a page-turning device.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huyen Le whose telephone number is 703-306-5504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HL April 29, 2004

GREGORY L. HUSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700